King, Valerie A.

From: Mitchell, Kathy A. (Research Center)
Sent: Friday, June 02, 2000 8:57 AM
To: Cox, Richard H.; Lilly, A. Cliff; Solana, Rick P.; Glenn, Charles; Osborne, Kevin (PMMC Legal); Fournier, Jay A; Paine, John B.
Cc: Sanders, Edward; Seeman, Jeffrey I; King, Valerie A.; Venable, Bristow
Subject: FW: Ammonia

FYI.

Ted's changes appear as italics in the file containing the manuscript.

Kathy

-----Original Message-----
From: Sanders, Edward
Sent: Thursday, June 01, 2000 5:16 PM
To: Mitchell, Kathy A. (Research Center)
Cc: Fournier, Jay A; Seeman, Jeffrey I
Subject: FW: Ammonia

Kathy,

No, I am not an author. Unfortunately, I do not know what you mean by italicized annotations. I made some changes that I put in a different color. These changes should be incorporated in this draft. All comments should be sent to Jeff. Speed is of the essence on this particular submission. This is why I was the one that sent it to Jay, since Jeff is on vacation at this time. I will be happy to answer any further questions.

Thanks.

Ted

-----Original Message-----
From: Fournier, Jay A
Sent: 1 juin 2000 22:11
To: Mitchell, Kathy A. (Research Center); Seeman, Jeffrey I; Sanders, Edward
Subject: RE: Ammonia

Kathy: Good questions.

Jeff & Ted: Any answers?

Jay
(804)274-2840

-----Original Message-----
From: Mitchell, Kathy A. (Research Center)
Sent: Thursday, June 01, 2000 4:08 PM
To: Fournier, Jay A
Subject: RE: Ammonia

Jay-

Let me see if I understand this.

This is a publication for Beitrage and PM authors are Lambing (Munich) and Seeman. Additional authors may be added.

Source: https://www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/yfvk0001

But Ted is not an author.

The italicized annotations will be incorporated into the next draft.

Will comments received as part of the MRB process be given to Jeff? If not Jeff, who?

Any deadlines?

Kathy

-----Original Message-----
From: Fournier, Jay A
Sent: Tuesday, May 30, 2000 2:35 PM
To: Mitchell, Kathy A. (Research Center)
Cc: Sanders, Edward; Seeman, Jeffrey I
Subject: FW: Ammonia

Kathy:

Attached below is a paper for submission to MRB. John Paine and I would be appropriate reviewers for this paper. Thanks!

Have a good one!

Jay

(804)274-2840

-----Original Message-----
From: Sanders, Edward
Sent: Monday, May 29, 2000 5:51 PM
To: Fournier, Jay A
Cc: King, Valerie A.
Subject: FW: Ammonia

Jay,

Since you have so much experience in working with Jeff, I am sending you this manuscript with request that you put it through MRB. I have written an abstract. Jeff actually wrote most of the abstract, but I failed to take what he wrote with me. (I thought I had it, but it was something else I took). I have made a few changes, only one of which is major. Please not the changes on page 7 (deletion of the word "of" and the addition of a "dash".) I am sending you a copy with the corrections noted, rather than a clean copy, so that Jeff will be aware of the changes I have made. Needless to say, a clean copy should be submitted to MRB. Thanks in advance. I have copied Valerie on this so that she can bug you from time to time to make certain that it gets done (only kidding).

Thanks again.

Ted

-----Original Message-----
From: Seeman, Jeffrey I
Sent: 23 mai 2000 21:55
To: Sanders, Edward
Subject: FW: Ammonia

Could you put this through MRB?

We need a brief abstract. No time for me to write it. Yuk.

PM3001337971

Source: https://www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/yfvk0001

-----Original Message-----
From: Mike Dixon [SMTP:Mike_Dixon@britamtob.com] <mailto:[SMTP:Mike_Dixon@britamtob.com]>
Sent: Tuesday, May 23, 2000 10:18 AM
To: Seeman Jeffrey I
Subject: Re:Ammonia

Jeff,

As discussed in our phone conversation I have looked at the mini-review and the latest version of the Commentary and there are only a few style changes needed in the chemistry sections of the former. I have written these changes in italics. There are a couple of changes which could be made in the 'physiology section' but I gather that an uptake section will no longer be included in the Commentary, so I have left this section of the mini-review untouched.

Although the draft looks in pretty good shape I'll have a more thorough look at it and check references etc whilst you are away on holiday.

Very best regards

Mike

(See attached file: ammonia minireview3.doc)

Confidentiality Notice: The information in this document may be confidential. It is intended only for the use of the named recipient.
Internet communications are not secure and therefore British American Tobacco does not accept legal responsibility for the contents of this message. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify us immediately and then delete this document. Do not disclose the contents of this document to any other person, nor take any copies. Violation of this notice may be unlawful.
<< File: Lotus Manuscript 1.0 >>

PM3001337972

Source: https://www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/yfvk0001